

# Solution to Homework #9

Question 1 (2.25 of Lehmann & Casella)

$$x_1, \dots, x_m \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} U(0, \theta). \quad y_1, \dots, y_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} U(0, \theta')$$

$$\therefore f(x_i) = \frac{1}{\theta} I_{\{x_i < \theta\}}. \quad [x_i > 0]$$

$$\therefore \prod_{i=1}^m f(x_i) = \frac{1}{\theta^m} \prod_{i=1}^m I_{\{x_i < \theta\}} = \frac{1}{\theta^m} I_{\{x_{(m)} < \theta\}}$$

where  $x_{(m)}$  is the  $m$ -th order statistic.  
[all  $x_i$ 's  $> 0$ ]

$$\text{Similarly, } g(y_i) = \frac{1}{\theta'} I_{\{y_i < \theta'\}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n g(y_i) = \frac{1}{\theta'} I_{\{y_{(n)} < \theta'\}}$$

$$\therefore \text{the joint likelihood } L = \frac{1}{\theta^m \theta'^n} I_{\{x_{(m)} < \theta\}} I_{\{y_{(n)} < \theta'\}}$$

So,  $(x_{(m)}, y_{(n)})$  is complete sufficient for  $(\theta, \theta')$ .

$$\text{Now, } f_1(x_{(m)}) = m \left[ F(x_{(m)}) \right]^{m-1} f(x_{(m)})$$

$$= \frac{m \cdot x_{(m)}}{\theta^m} \cdot I_{\{x_{(m)} < \theta\}}, \quad x_{(m)} > 0$$

$$\therefore E(x_{(m)}) = \int_0^\theta x \cdot \frac{mx^{m-1}}{\theta^m} dx = \frac{m}{m+1} \cdot \theta$$

$$\text{Similarly, } E(\frac{1}{y_{(n)}}) = E(\frac{1}{y_{(n)}}) = \int_0^{\theta'} \frac{1}{y} \cdot \frac{ny^{n-1}}{\theta'^n} dy = \frac{n}{n-1} \cdot \frac{1}{\theta'}$$

$$\therefore E\left(\frac{x_{(m)}}{y_{(n)}}\right) = E(x_{(m)}) \cdot E(\frac{1}{y_{(n)}}) = \frac{mn}{(m+1)(n-1)} \cdot \frac{1}{\theta'}, \quad [\because x_{(m)} \text{ & } y_{(n)} \text{ are indep}]$$

$$\Rightarrow E\left[\frac{(m+1)(n-1)}{mn} \cdot \frac{x_{(m)}}{y_{(n)}}\right] = \frac{\theta}{\theta'}$$

$\frac{(m+1)(n-1)}{mn} \cdot \frac{x_{(m)}}{y_{(n)}}$  is an unbiased estimate of  $\frac{1}{\theta'}$ . But it is also a function of the complete sufficient statistic  $(x_{(m)}, y_{(n)})$ .

$\Rightarrow$  it is the UMVUE of  $\frac{1}{\theta'}$ .

Question 2 (3.23 of Lehmann & Casella)

(a)  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  iid Poisson( $\lambda$ )

$$\Rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i) = \frac{1}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i!\right)} \cdot e^{-n\lambda} \cdot e^{[(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i) \log \lambda]}$$

So, it is an exponential family with  $\eta = \eta_1 = \log \lambda$ .  $T = t = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ ,

$$h(x) = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i!} \quad \& \quad C(\eta) = e^{-n\eta}$$

$\therefore t = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$  is a complete, sufficient statistic for  $\eta$  & hence for  $\lambda$ .

$$\text{Let } S^* = \left(1 - \frac{b}{n}\right)^t$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore E(S^*) &= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{b}{n}\right)^t \cdot \frac{e^{-n\lambda} (n\lambda)^t}{t!} \quad [\because t = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \sim \text{Poisson}(n\lambda)] \\ &= e^{-n\lambda} \cdot \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{[n\lambda \left(1 - \frac{b}{n}\right)]^t}{t!} \\ &= e^{-n\lambda} \cdot e^{n\lambda \left(1 - \frac{b}{n}\right)} = e^{-b\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore S^*$  is an unbiased estimate of  $e^{-b\lambda}$ . But it is also a function of the complete, sufficient statistic  $\Rightarrow S^*$  is the UMVUE of  $e^{-b\lambda}$ .

(b) If  $b > n$ ,  $\left(1 - \frac{b}{n}\right) < 0 \Rightarrow S^* = \left(1 - \frac{b}{n}\right)^t < 0$  whenever  $t$  is

odd. But,  $e^{-b\lambda}$  is always positive.

Hence, we can obtain a negative estimate of a positive quantity. So,  $S^*$  is not a reasonable estimate of  $e^{-b\lambda}$  if  $b > n$ .

[Proved]

Question 3 (Q2 of Lecture Notes)

$$f_\theta(x) = \frac{\theta}{(1+x)^{\theta+1}} I_{\{x>0\}}$$

$x_1, \dots, x_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f_\theta$

$$P_\theta(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_\theta(x_i)$$

$$\lambda_\theta = \log P_\theta(x) = n \log \theta - (\theta+1) \sum_{i=1}^n \log(1+x_i).$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial \lambda_\theta}{\partial \theta} = \frac{n}{\theta} - \sum_{i=1}^n \log(1+x_i). \quad \frac{\partial^2 \lambda_\theta}{\partial \theta^2} = -\frac{n}{\theta^2}$$

$\therefore$  the MLE of  $\theta$  is given by  $\hat{\theta}_n$  such that  $\frac{\partial \lambda_\theta}{\partial \theta} \Big|_{\theta=\hat{\theta}_n} = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\theta}_n = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \log(1+x_i)}.$$

$$\text{Now } I_n(\theta) = E\left[-\frac{\partial^2 \lambda_\theta}{\partial \theta^2}\right] = \frac{n}{\theta^2} \Rightarrow I(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} I_n(\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta^2}.$$

$$\therefore I^{-1}(\theta) = \theta^2.$$

Now, we know that  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, I^{-1}(\theta))$ .

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \theta^2)$$

(b) For any function  $g(x)$ , using Delta method, we get that

$$\sqrt{n}(g(\hat{\theta}_n) - g(\theta)) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \{g'(\theta)\}^2 \cdot \theta^2).$$

We want to find a function  $g$ , such that

$$\sqrt{n}(g(\hat{\theta}_n) - g(\theta)) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \{g'(\theta) \cdot \theta\}^2 = 1$$

$$\text{ie } g'(\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} \Rightarrow g(\theta) = \log \theta + k. \text{ (for any constant } k)$$

∴ for  $g(\theta) = \log \theta$  (taking  $k=0$ ), we get

$$\sqrt{n} (\log(\hat{\theta}_n) - \log \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1).$$

(c) Now, using part (b) we get,

$$P[|\sqrt{n}(\log \hat{\theta}_n - \log \theta)| \leq z_{\alpha/2}] \longrightarrow 1-\alpha$$

where  $z_{\alpha/2}$  is the  $(1-\alpha/2)^{\text{th}}$  cutoff for  $N(0, 1)$  distribution.

$$\therefore P\left[\log \hat{\theta}_n - \frac{z_{\alpha/2}}{\sqrt{n}} \leq \log \theta \leq \log \hat{\theta}_n + \frac{z_{\alpha/2}}{\sqrt{n}}\right] \longrightarrow 1-\alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow P\left[e^{\{\log \hat{\theta}_n - \frac{z_{\alpha/2}}{\sqrt{n}}\}} \leq \theta \leq e^{\{\log \hat{\theta}_n + \frac{z_{\alpha/2}}{\sqrt{n}}\}}\right] \longrightarrow 1-\alpha$$

So, an approximate  $(1-\alpha)$  confidence interval for  $\theta$  based on

(b) is  $(e^{\{\log \hat{\theta}_n - \frac{z_{\alpha/2}}{\sqrt{n}}\}}, e^{\{\log \hat{\theta}_n + \frac{z_{\alpha/2}}{\sqrt{n}}\}})$ .

Question 4 (Q3 of Lecture Notes)

(a)  $X \sim$  standard exponential ie  $f(x) = e^{-x} I_{\{x>0\}}$

$Y/X=x \sim \text{Poisson } (\lambda x)$

$$\therefore P(Y=y) = E[I_{\{Y=y\}}] = E[E\{I_{\{Y=y\}}|X\}] = E[P(Y=y|X)]$$

$$\begin{aligned} P(Y=y) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda x} \frac{(\lambda x)^y}{y!} e^{-x} dx \\ &= \lambda^y \int_0^\infty e^{-(\lambda+1)x} \frac{x^y}{y!} dx \\ &= \frac{\lambda^y}{(\lambda+1)^{y+1}} \int_0^\infty \frac{(\lambda+1) \cdot e^{-(\lambda+1)x} \cdot \{(\lambda+1)x\}^y}{y!} dx \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda^y}{(\lambda+1)^{y+1}} \quad [\text{Rest is integral over Gamma density } = 1]$$

$\therefore Y \sim \text{Geometric } \left( \frac{1}{\lambda+1} \right)$

$$\text{Now, } E(Y) = E[E(Y|X)] = E[\lambda X] = \lambda E(X) = \lambda \quad [\because E(X)=1]$$

$$\text{var}(Y) = E[\text{var}(Y|X)] + \text{var}[E(Y|X)]$$

$$= E[\lambda X] + \text{var}(\lambda X)$$

$$= \lambda E(X) + \lambda^2 \text{var}(X) = \lambda + \lambda^2$$

(b) The joint density of  $(X, Y)$  is given by

$$f(x, y) = e^{-(\lambda+1)x} \frac{(\lambda x)^y}{y!}, \quad x>0, \quad y=1(1)\infty$$

$$\log[f(x, y)] = -(\lambda+1)x + y \log \lambda + h(x, y)$$

$\therefore$  where  $h(x, y)$  depends on  $x$  &  $y$ , but is indep. of  $\lambda$

the log-likelihood is given by

$$l = \sum_{i=1}^n \log [f(x_i, y_i)] = -(\lambda+1) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \log \lambda (\sum_{i=1}^n y_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n h(x_i, y_i).$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \lambda^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \left[ -(\lambda+1) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i / \lambda \right] = -\sum_{i=1}^n y_i / \lambda^2.$$

$$\therefore I(\lambda) = E \left[ -\frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \lambda^2} \right] = \frac{n E(y)}{\lambda^2} = \frac{n}{\lambda}.$$

$$\therefore I(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda}.$$

Now, for any unbiased estimate of  $\lambda$ , the Cramer-Rao lower bound is given by  $1/I(\lambda) = \lambda$ .

(c) First we determine  $\hat{\lambda}_n$  based on the data  $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$

for the MLE we have

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=\hat{\lambda}_n} = 0 \Rightarrow -\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}{\hat{\lambda}_n} = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\lambda}_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}$$

$$\boxed{\text{REDACTED}} \quad I_n(\lambda) = \frac{n}{\lambda} \quad [\text{from part (b)}]$$

Now, consider  $\tilde{\lambda}_n$  based on  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  only.  $y_i$  in Geometric  $(\frac{1}{\lambda+1})$ .

$$\therefore \log [P(y=y)] = y \log \lambda - (y+1) \log(1+\lambda)$$

the loglikelihood is given by

$$l_1 = \log \lambda (\sum_{i=1}^n y_i) - \log(1+\lambda) \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n y_i + n \right].$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial l_1}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=\tilde{\lambda}_n} = 0 \Rightarrow \tilde{\lambda}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 l_1}{\partial \lambda^2} = -\sum_{i=1}^n y_i / \lambda^2 + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i + n}{(1+\lambda)^2} \quad \therefore I_2(\lambda) = E \left[ -\frac{\partial^2 l_1}{\partial \lambda^2} \right] = \frac{n}{\lambda(1+\lambda)}$$

~~REDACTED~~. the asymptotic relative efficiency of  $\tilde{\lambda}_n$  vs  $\hat{\lambda}_n$  is given by

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{\text{Var}(\tilde{\lambda}_n)}{\text{Var}(\hat{\lambda}_n)} \right] = \frac{I_2(\lambda)}{I_n(\lambda)} = \frac{n}{\lambda(1+\lambda)} \cdot \frac{\lambda}{n} = \frac{1}{1+\lambda}$$